

**FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A  
SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED TE TAI O POUTINI PLAN**

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

**To:** Te Tai o Poutini Plan Team  
**Address:** 388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805  
**Email:** info@tpp.nz

**Further Submitter Details**

Name of further submitter: Patrick ~~John~~ Hands  
 Contact person (if different from above): .....  
 Postal address: 7538A Side Highway Charleston  
 Email address for service: naclandpost@xtra.co.nz  
 Phone number: 037846458

*The TTPP team will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.*

**I am:** (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.   
 (In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.   
 (In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category); or
- c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:

***I am a farmer and the lime provided by the Karamea Lime Co. quarry is important to my business.***

**TTPP Hearing** (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes  No   
 If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes  No

**Submission**

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.

**Note:** Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Patrick Hands  
 Signature of the person making further submission or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission.

7/7/23  
 Date

**Name of Further Submitter**

*Pat Heards*

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:

*(Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)*

<p><b>I support/oppose the submission of:</b> <i>(State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)</i></p>	<p><b>The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are:</b> <i>(State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)</i></p>	<p><b>The reasons for my support / opposition are:</b> <i>(State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)</i></p>	<p><b>I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed:</b> <i>(Give precise details of the decision you want TTPP to make)</i></p>						
<p>S337 Lanah Hake Tarango 380 Oparara Road RD 3 Karamea West Coast 7893</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1260 617 1648">Sub No. / Point No.</th> <th data-bbox="617 1260 1006 1648">Support / Oppose</th> <th data-bbox="548 1260 617 1260">Provision</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="617 1260 649 1648">S337.001</td> <td data-bbox="649 1260 1006 1648">Oppose</td> <td data-bbox="649 1260 1006 1260">Mineral Extraction Zone</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	S337.001	Oppose	Mineral Extraction Zone	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	<p>We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.</p>
Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision							
S337.001	Oppose	Mineral Extraction Zone							
<p>S337 Lanah Hake Tarango 380 Oparara Road RD 3 Karamea West Coast 7893</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1006 1260 1071 1648">Sub No. / Point No.</th> <th data-bbox="1071 1260 1385 1648">Support / Oppose</th> <th data-bbox="1006 1260 1071 1260">Provision</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1071 1260 1104 1648">S337.002</td> <td data-bbox="1104 1260 1385 1648">Oppose</td> <td data-bbox="1104 1260 1385 1260">Natural Features and Landscapes</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	S337.002	Oppose	Natural Features and Landscapes	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	<p>We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.</p>
Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision							
S337.002	Oppose	Natural Features and Landscapes							





S381 Laurence Rueter 43 Wharf Road RD 3 Karamea West Coast 7893	Sub No. / Point No. S381.001	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision Natural Features and Landscapes	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	Sub No. / Point No. S381.002	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision Outstanding Natural Character	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.

S382 Liz Kerslake Weatherthorpe PO Box 151 Karama West Coast 7864	Sub No./ Point No. S382.001	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision Natural Features and Landscapes	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karama Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	Sub No./ Point No. S382.002	Support / Oppose Oppose	Provision Outstanding Natural Character	<p>We oppose the submission in its entirety.</p> <p>We support MINZ zoning over the Karama Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).</p> <p>The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.</p> <p>We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.</p>	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.

S409 Maria McKay 368 Oparara Road Karamea West Coast 7893	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	We oppose the submission in its entirety.  We support MINZ zoning over the Karamea Lime Quarry (i.e. Section 1 SO 15488, Section 50 Blk IX Oparara SD and Lot 1 DP 483059).  The submission makes a number of statements that are not based in fact. The s32 evaluation provides sufficient justification for the MINZ and the accompanying technical reports do not identify this highly modified area as an Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature or as having Outstanding Natural Character, High Coastal Natural Character or Outstanding Coastal Natural Character.  We contest the magnitude of effect and impact of quarry operations as stated in the submission. We understand that the quarry has been in existence for over 120 years with the Quarry Reserve being gazetted in 1892.	We seek that the whole submission be disallowed.
	S409.001	Oppose	Mineral Extraction Zone		
S599 WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited 120 Medway Road Hanmer Springs Canterbury 7334	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision	We support for the reasons set out in the WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited submission.	We seek that all submission points be allowed.
	S599.049	Support	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity		
	S599.055	Support	ECO – P7		
	S599.063	Support	NFL – P1		
	S599.065	Support	NFL – P3		
	S599.067	Support	NFL – R6		
	S599.135	Support	MINZ – R2		

